# Compliance Record Review Document Office of Special Education and Early Learning Division of IDEA Monitoring and Results





**Revised August 2024** 

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	3
Changes to the Record Review	3
General Directions	
Correction of Noncompliance	4
Demographic Information (Required)	6
Meeting Notice and Membership of Admissions and Release Committee (ARC)	7
Prior Written Notice to Parent(s)	10
Preschoolers Transitioning from Part C	12
Individual Education Program (IEP)	13
Transition Services	17
Evaluation Timelines	19
Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation	21
Chart of Edits	24

Note: Items in bold above are State Performance Plan (SPP) Compliance Indicators

#### Introduction

The Compliance Record Review Document hereafter, referred to as Record Review is based on the general supervision requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs). The Division of IDEA Monitoring and Results (DIMR) revised this document to ensure compliance with legal and regulatory provisions and establish a consistent standard for districts and DIMR for reviews of student due process records.

These updates are based on the Office of Special Education Programs' (OSEP) Framework of Results Driven Accountability (RDA). RDA recognizes the need to not only monitor for compliance but to view data specifically related to student results. The IDEA statute at 34 CFR § 300.600 states the primary focus of federal and state monitoring activities shall be on:

- improving educational results and functional outcomes for all children with disabilities;
   and
- ensuring that public agencies meet the program requirements under this part, with particular emphasis on those requirements that are most closely related to improving educational results for children with disabilities (Emphasis added.)

For further explanation of the RDA framework, see the <u>U.S. Department of Education's Letter to</u> Chief State School Officers.

#### **Changes to the Record Review**

The Record Review is divided into the following focus areas: Notice and Membership of the Admissions and Release Committee (ARC) Meeting, Prior Written Notice (PWN) to Parents, Preschoolers Transitioning from Part C, Individualized Education Program (IEP), Transition Services, Evaluation Timelines and Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation.

Each section item is numbered according to the corresponding Title 707, Chapter 1 Kentucky Administrative Regulation (KAR). The regulation number is abbreviated, for example 707 KAR 1:320, Section 4(1) is abbreviated to 320.4.1. The record review numbers previously used are located under the sections.

#### **General Directions**

- In order to yield accurate information, student due process records must be selected randomly for review. Random means records are not preselected. Random also means that records are selected from a variety of schools, teachers, case managers and categories of disability. Selecting the record of every third, fifth or tenth student is one means of random selection. You may also visit <u>Random.org</u> to seek the assistance of a random number generator.
- For Indicators 11, 12 and 13, at least 10% of the district's special education population related to the Indicators must be selected for the review to be valid. For more information on the State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicators, visit <u>IDEA State Performance Plans/Annual</u> <u>Performance Reports (SPP/APR).</u>

- 3. If a district has 100 or fewer students in one of the focus areas defined above or an Indicator, a minimum of 10 files must be reviewed. If the district has 10 or fewer students that are covered by the focus area or indicator, all student records for that item must be reviewed. For example, if the district has eight students referred to its preschool program from Kentucky Early Intervention System (KEIS), all eight records must be reviewed. If a district has 101 or more students in the focus area or Indicator, then 10% will be reviewed up to 50 files. A district will not be required to view more than 50 files.
- 4. For Indicator 11, at least 10% of students evaluated during the current year must be chosen at random for a review. This includes both students determined to be eligible as well as those determined ineligible for special education.
- 5. When reviewing files, review the most current information from the student's due process record to document compliance.

#### **Correction of Noncompliance**

Violations of IDEA that can be corrected

During the record review process for Indicators 11, 12 and 13, districts may find items in student records that are violations of IDEA that will be self-reported as not compliant by the district. Some of the violations may be corrected, depending on the nature of the violation.

It will be necessary to convene an ARC to correct the violation in the student's IEP, unless the change is nonprogrammatic. If the ARC convenes to correct the violation, the ARC's documentation must reflect authentic, appropriate processes and corrections.

Indicator 13 records can be corrected prior to submission of the data to KDE; however, the district would provide documentation to KDE noting it was noncompliant and self-corrected.

Examples of violations that may be corrected include:

- failure to document postsecondary goals in the IEP
- failure to document transition services in the IEP
- failure to invite outside agencies (with prior consent) to the ARC meeting to discuss transition
- failure to link annual goals to related postsecondary goals
- failure to document evidence of transition assessment

Violations of the IDEA that cannot be corrected for individual students:

Some violations of IDEA identified during district's record review cannot be corrected in individual student records due to the nature of the violation. This includes Indicators 11, 12 and parts of Indicator 13:

- not obtaining parent consent prior to inviting outside agencies to the ARC meeting
- not inviting the student to the ARC meeting
- missing the timeline for updating postsecondary goals annually
- not having transition requirements in the IEP by the student's 16th birthday
- missing the timeline for initial evaluation

- missing the timeline for annual review
- missing the timeline for an IEP being in place by the third birthday for children transitioning from Part C to Part B

Though these violations cannot be corrected, the district must note and explain the noncompliance for the individual student and document it in the student's record. For example, failure to meet the timeline for an IEP in place by the child's third birthday cannot be corrected; however, it can be remedied for that student by ensuring an IEP is in place, even though it is late.

If the student is no longer under the district's jurisdiction, individual noncompliance cannot be corrected.

#### Systemic Violations

Violations found in a district's due process files totaling less than a 95% compliance rate are deemed systemic. In the event that the review of one file yields less than a 95% compliance rate then two or more noncompliant files must be documented to determine systemic noncompliance. Documentation of systemic correction is required in addition to individual correction of noncompliance, according to <a href="State General Supervision Responsibilities under Parts B and C of the IDEA">State General Supervision Responsibilities under Parts B and C of the IDEA</a>. In the case of systemic violation, KDE randomly selects student folders from the district. KDE then verifies whether the record review item in question for all folders randomly reviewed is compliant. If the item is correct for all student folders, KDE has ensured systemic correction has occurred, pursuant to <a href="State General Supervision Responsibilities under Parts B and C of the IDEA">State General Supervision Responsibilities under Parts B and C of the IDEA</a>.

# **Demographic Information (Required)**

# **Kentucky Compliance Record Review Document**

(Including Reporting Requirements for SPP/APR)			
Reviewer's Name:			
Date of Review://			
Student's Name:			
Student's DOB:/	Grade:		
Race/Ethnicity:			
Asian	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
Black/African American	Two or More Races		
Hispanic/Latino	White		
Native American/Alaska Native			
Disability:			
School:			
District:			
Mark Box below for the age range of t	the student:		
Preschool child (exiting Part C)			
Student (age 3-15)			
Student (age 16 or older)			
Mark box below for the meeting purp	ose:		
Initial Referral/Eligibility			
Three Year Reevaluation			
Annual Review			
Other			
Comments:			
Comments.			

## Meeting Notice and Membership of Admissions and Release Committee (ARC)

707 KAR 1:320 Sections 3 and 4 and 707 KAR 1:340 Section 1

(Previously Items 4-23)

#### **NOTES:**

Dually certified personnel in grades K-12 may not serve in multiple roles. For example, a special education teacher who is also certified in regular education cannot serve as the special education teacher and the regular education teacher at the ARC meeting.

The word "parent" is used to refer to the parent as defined in 707 KAR 1:002 Section 1 (43); or emancipated youth if the student is 18 or older.

	Documents: Notice of Admissions and Release Committee Meeting form, ampus Contact Log and Conference Summary	Yes	No	NA
320.3.1	ARC Membership included <b>ALL</b> the following:			
	Parent(s) or if the parent does not attend then all requirements of 340.1			
	are met;			
	At least one regular education teacher attended and provided			
	information about the general curriculum for same aged peers;			
	At least one special education teacher of the child attended OR a special			
	education teacher knowledgeable of the suspected disability attended;			
	A representative of the school district qualified to provide or supervise			
	the provision of specially designed instruction to meet the unique needs of			
	children with disabilities, is knowledgeable about the general curriculum and			
	district resources attended;			
	An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of			
	evaluation results attended (this individual may be a member of the team			
	described above) and			
	An individual who has knowledge or special expertise regarding the child			
	attended at the discretion of the parent or the Local Education Agency			
	(LEA).			
	Others as appropriate:			
	Related services personnel, as appropriate			
	The child <b>if appropriate</b>			
340.1.3	Parent Participation in Meetings:			
	The parent could not be convinced to attend, but the LEA kept records of its			
	attempts to arrange a mutually agreed on time and place. These records may			
	include:			
	a) Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted and the results of			
	those calls,			
	b) Copies of correspondence sent to the parents and any responses received			
	OR			
	c) Detailed records of visits made to the parent's home or place of			

	Documents: Notice of Admissions and Release Committee Meeting form, Impus Contact Log and Conference Summary	Yes	No	NA
iiiiiiite Ca	employment and the results of those visits.			
320.3.4	If the purpose of the ARC is to discuss transition services, the child was invited and attended			
	NOTES: YES: Child did not attend, but the LEA took other steps to ensure that the			
	child's preferences and interests were considered.			
	NA: if child is not in 8th grade or age 14 or older			
	NO: if the child is in 8th grade, age 14 or older and other steps were not			
	taken to ensure the student's preferences and interests were considered.  KAR 707 1:320 Section 3(4)			
320.3.2	If a member of the ARC is dismissed from attending the ARC meeting in			<u> </u>
	whole or in part, the parent AND the LEA agree to the dismissal in writing			
	prior to the meeting that the attendance of that member is not necessary			
	because the member's area of curriculum or related services is not being			
	modified or discussed in the ARC meeting.			
320.3.3	If a member of the ARC is dismissed and their area of curriculum or related			<del>                                     </del>
	services is to be modified or discussed, the member must submit input into			
	the development of the IEP in writing to the parent(s) and the ARC prior to			
	the meeting. If their area is not to be discussed or modified, they do not			
	need to provide input.			
320.4.1	The LEA provided a written invitation to the parent(s) which met <b>ALL</b> the following requirements:			
	The notice was sent at least seven days before the ARC meeting			
	There is documentation of the district's attempts to arrange a mutually-			
	agreed-upon time and place			
	NOTE: This also applies to initial ARC meetings for preschoolers transitioning from			
	Part C to Part B.			
320.4.2	The Meeting Notice included <b>ALL</b> the following:			
	purpose time location members			
	Notice the parents may invite people with knowledge or special expertise regarding the child			
320.4.2f	Notice the LEA will invite representatives from the early intervention program	+		
	to the initial meeting, if the parents request it.			
320.4.3	For children who are in 8th grade or age 14, the Meeting Notice included ALL the following:			
	The purpose is the development of a statement for the need for transition			
	services AND			

	Documents: Notice of Admissions and Release Committee Meeting form, ampus Contact Log and Conference Summary	Yes	No	NA
minite C	The child was invited			
320.4.4	For children who are 16, ALL the following must be included:			
	The IEP was in effect when the child turned 16			
	A purpose of the meeting is the consideration of the postsecondary goals			
	and needed transition services for the child			
	Includes the identity of any other agency invited to send a			
	representative NOTE:			
	This may apply to a child under the age of 16 if determined to be appropriate by the ARC.			
340.3.1	Except for meetings concerning a disciplinary change in placement or a safety			
	issue, an LEA shall provide written notice to the parents of a child with a			
	disability at least seven (7) days before an ARC meeting.			
340.3.2	For meetings concerning a safety issue or disciplinary change in placement			
	due to a violation of a student code of conduct, an LEA shall provide written			
	notice to the parents of a child with a disability at least twenty-four (24)			
	hours before an ARC meeting.			
Commen	ts:	•	•	•

## **Prior Written Notice to Parent(s)**

# 707 KAR 1:340 Sections 3 and 4 and 34 CFR § 300.503

(Previously Items 24-29)

**NOTE:** Prior written notice must be updated with the student's signature when the student turns 18.

	Documents: Notice of Admissions and Release Committee Meeting and ce Summary Report	Yes	No	NA
340.4.1	The LEA provided prior written notice to the parents of a child with a			
	disability within a reasonable time before the LEA implements a proposal			
	<b>OR</b> refusal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation or educational			
	placement of the child or the provision of FAPE to the child.			
340.4.2	The notice must include <b>ALL</b> the following:			
	A <b>description</b> of the action proposed or refused;			
	An <b>explanation</b> of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the			
	action;			
	A description of any other options and reasons those options were			
	rejected;			
	A <b>description</b> of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or			
	report used as a basis for proposed or refused action;			
	A description of any other factors relevant to the LEA's proposal or			
	refusal;			
	A statement that the parents of a child with a disability have			
	protection under the procedural safeguards;			
	Sources for the parents to contact to obtain assistance in			
	understanding prior written notice.			
	NOTE:			
	If this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the parent is			
	informed of how they can obtain a copy of the procedural safeguards.			
340.4.3	The written notice was provided in the parent's native language or other			
	mode of communication of the parent <b>OR</b> LEA ensures the notice is			
	translated orally.			
340.5.1	A copy of the procedural safeguards notice (including parent's rights) was			
	given to the parents. A copy of the notice shall be provided one time a			
	school year AND: (check if applicable)			
	Upon initial referral or parent request for evaluation			
	Upon receipt of the first state written complaint			
	Upon receipt of the first filing of a due process hearing in a school year			
	In accordance with discipline procedures which constitutes a change in			
	placement			
	Upon request by a parent			

Relevant Do	ocuments: Notice of Admissions and Release Committee Meeting and	Yes	No	NA
Conference	Summary Report			
340.6.1	The LEA obtained consent before conducting an initial evaluation or			
	reevaluation of a child with a disability.			
	NOTES:			
	NA: Parental consent is not required for reevaluation if the LEA can			
	demonstrate that it made reasonable efforts to obtain such consent and			
	kept a record of its attempts which may include:			
	(a) Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted and the results			
	of those calls;			
	(b) Copies of correspondence sent to the parents and any responses			
	received; and			
	(c) Detailed records of visits made to the parent's home or place of			
	employment and the results of those visits.			
	NA: Parental consent is not required before reviewing existing data as			
	part of an evaluation or reevaluation; OR for administering a test or other			
	evaluation that is administered to all children unless consent is require of			
	all parents before the administration.			
Commonts	•			

# Preschoolers Transitioning from Part C

# $\underline{34~\text{CFR 300.124}},~\underline{300.323}~\text{and}~\underline{300.101}$

707 KAR 1:300 Section 1(3) and 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3(6)

(Previously Items 30-31b)

Relevant Docu	ments: Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP) signature page or	Yes	No	NA
Conference Su	mmary with LEA representative signature			
CFR 300.101	For children exiting Part C, documentation shows the IEP was developed and implemented by the child's third birthday and the district representative was invited.  Child's birth date:  IEP development ARC date:  Date referral was made by First Steps:  Date accepted by the LEA:  If beyond timeline, indicate ARC's reason for delay:  parent repeatedly failed or refused to produce the student for evaluation			
	student transferred from another district and parent and district agreed to extension date other (reason entered from IC dropdown box)			
320.3.6	For preschoolers transitioning from Part C, the IFSP was considered in developing the IEP.			
Comments:				

# Individual Education Program (IEP)

34 CFR § 300.320, 707 KAR 1:320 and 707 KAR 1:350 Section 1

(Previously Items 32-49)

**NOTES:** 707 KAR 1:320, Section 5(6) states "An ARC shall not be required to include information under one (1) component of a child's IEP that is already contained under another component of the child's IEP." Explanations and definitions for items in the IEP can be found in the <u>Guidance Document for IEP Development</u>.

#### Relevant Documents: IEP, Conference Summary Report and Progress Monitoring Data

	Required Components	YES	NO	NA
320.2.6	The ARC conducted a review of the IEP <b>annually</b> (within the calendar year) to determine whether the annual goals for the student are being achieved and revised the IEP as appropriate.			
	Start and end dates of last <b>two</b> IEPs:			
	1			
	2			
	NOTE: NA if child has been receiving special education less than one year			
320.5.1	In the development of the IEP, the ARC considered:			
	The strengths of the child			
	The concerns of the parent			
	The results of the initial or most recent evaluation of the child			
	As appropriate, the results of the child's performance on any general state or			
	districtwide assessment program AND			
	The academic, developmental and functional needs of the child.			
320.5.7a	The IEP includes the following:			
	A statement of the child's present levels of academic achievement and			
	functional performance AND			
	How the disability affects the child's involvement and progress in the general			
	curriculum (summary statement)			
	For Preschool children, as appropriate:			
	How the disability affects the child's participation in appropriate activities			
320.5.2	The ARC completed the Consideration of Special Factors for ALL the following areas			
	and the factors listed below are consistent with information provided in the Present			
	Levels.			
	Behavior			
	Limited English Proficiency			
	Blind or visually impaired			
	Communication needs			

	Required Components	YES	NO	NA
	Deaf or hard of hearing			
	Assistive technology needs (707 KAR 1:290, Section 7)			
320.5.4	The ARC included a statement on the IEP indicating the needs for a particular device			
	or service (including an intervention, accommodation, or other program			
	modification), if any are needed, in order for the child to receive a free appropriate			
	public education (FAPE).			
320.5.7b	The IEP includes statement of measurable annual goals designed to:			
	Meet the child's needs that result from the disability to enable the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum <b>OR</b> for Preschool children to participate in appropriate activities <b>AND</b> Meet the child's other educational needs that result from the disability			
	NOTE: Measurable annual goals must relate directly to the Present Levels. Each measurable annual goal must have enough detail to allow for <i>quantifiable</i> measurement and <i>may</i> be accomplished by including the following components:  (a) Audience (students Name)  (b) Behavior  (c) Circumstances  (d) Degree/criteria  (e) Evaluation/method of measurement  (f) Frequency of data collection			
320.5.8	The IEP has <b>ALL</b> the following:  A Statement of Specially Designed Instruction (SDI),  Related Services,  Statement of Supplementary Aids and Services (SAS) AND  Statement of program modifications and supports for school personnel to be provided for the student to:			
	<ul> <li>a. advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals</li> <li>b. be involved and progress in the general curriculum</li> <li>c. participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities and</li> <li>d. be educated and participate with other students with or without disabilities</li> </ul>			
	NOTES:  "Specially-designed instruction" means adapting as appropriate the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction to address the unique needs of the child with a disability and to ensure access of the child to the general curriculum included in the Program of Studies, 704 KAR 3:303.  "Supplementary aids and services" mean aids, services, and other supports that are provided in regular education classes or other education-related settings to enable a child with disabilities to be educated with nondisabled children to the			

	Required Components	YES	NO	NA
	maximum extent appropriate in accordance with 707 KAR 1:350.			
	If the ARC determined the student does not require program modifications or			
	support for school personnel, then "not needed" may be documented.			
320.5.10	Statement of any individual accommodations to be provided the child in order to			
	participate in the state or districtwide assessment. These accommodations shall be			
	based on the requirements contained in 703 KAR 5:070, Procedures for the inclusion			
	of special populations in the state-required assessment and accountability programs			
	is documented .			
320.5.11	If the ARC determined the child meets the criteria for participation in the			
	alternate portfolio as provided in <u>703 KAR 5:070</u> , it shall provide a statement of			
	its decision and the reasons for the decision.			
	<b>Note:</b> As reflected in the <u>Participation Guidelines Documentation</u> , to participate			
	a student must meet all four participation criterion.			
	The student's current level of communication must be documented in the			
	Present Levels of the IEP.			
320.5.13	The IEP includes a statement of:			
	how the child's progress toward meeting the annual goals will be measured;			
	AND			
	when the parent(s) will be regularly informed of progress toward the goals.			
320.5.9	An explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate			
	with nondisabled students in regular education classes.			
350.1.5	In determining the educational placement of a child with a disability, the LEA			
	shall ensure that the placement decision is made by the ARC in conformity with			
	the least restrictive environment provisions.			
350.1.8	The ARC considered potentially harmful effects of the placement on the student			
	or the quality of services the student needs.			
320.5.12	Special Education Services must include <b>ALL</b> the following to be marked YES:			
	Anticipated frequency of service			
	Anticipated location (whether regular or special education)			
	Anticipated amount of time (duration)			
	Projected beginning date			
320.5.12	Related services must include <b>All</b> the following to be marked YES:			
	Anticipated frequency of service			
	Anticipated location (whether regular or special education)			
	Anticipated amount of time (duration)			
	Projected beginning date			
290.8	The ARC considered extended school year (ESY) services according to individual			
	student need. (707 KAR 1:290, Section 8)			

Required Components	YES	NO	NA
Comments:			

# Transition Services 707 KAR 1:320 Section 7

(Previously Items 50-53)

#### NOTES:

This is to be completed for students in the **8th grade or age 14 and older only**. Mark **NA** for entire transition section if student does not meet the criteria.

For more information on Transition for Postsecondary Education, see <u>OSERS Transition Guide</u>.

Relevant De	ocuments: IEP or Conference Summary Report	Yes	No	NA	
320.7.1	For students in the 8th grade OR age 14 and older ALL of the following				
	are included:				
	The IEP contains a statement of the transition service needs of				
	the child aligned with the child's ILP (704 KAR 3:305)				
	The transition service statement focuses on the child's course of				
	study				
	The transition service statement is updated annually				
320.7.2	By the child's 16th birthday, the IEP includes <b>ALL</b> the following:				
	appropriate measurable postsecondary goals based upon age-				
	appropriate transition assessments related to training and education;				
	employment; AND, where appropriate, independent living skills,				
	The transition services needed to assist the child in reaching these				
	goals, AND				
	Course of Study for the Student.				
	NOTE:				
	Measurable postsecondary goals must be based on age-appropriate				
	transition assessments which may include the following:				
	behavioral assessment information;				
	aptitude tests;				
	<ul> <li>interest and work values inventories and surveys;</li> </ul>				
	intelligence tests and achievement tests;				
	personality or preference tests;				
	career maturity or readiness tests;				
	self-determination assessments;				
	work related temperament scales and				
	transition planning inventories				
320.3.4	For transition services provided or paid for by another agency, the				
	other agency was invited to send a representative with the prior				
	written consent of the parent or child (if the child is an emancipated				
	adult)				

Relevant D	ocuments: IEP or Conference Summary Report	Yes	No	NA
320.3.4	If the representative of the other public agency did not attend, the LEA			
	took other steps to obtain participation of the other agency in the			
	planning of any transition services.			
320.2.6	The measurable postsecondary goals are reviewed annually and revised if necessary			
320.5.14	At least one year prior to the student reaching the age of majority, the			
	IEP includes a statement that the child has been informed of their rights			
	under 707 KAR Chapter 1, and the rights will transfer to the student			
	upon reaching the age of majority			
	NOTE: The age of majority in Kentucky is 18 (KRS 2.015)			
300.4.21	For students graduating or aging out, documentation shows the school			
	district (LEA) provided the student with a summary of the student's			
	academic achievement and functional performance, including			
	recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's			
	postsecondary goals. <u>707 KAR 1:300 Section 4</u>			
Comments	s:			

## **Evaluation Timelines**

34 CFR 300.124 and 300.323,

707 KAR 1:300 Section 4,

707 KAR 1:320 Section 1 & 2, and

707 KAR 1:340

(Previously Items 53-57)

	nt Documents: Consent for Evaluation form, Consent for Services form,	Yes	No	NA
Notice	of Admissions and Release Committee (ARC) Meeting, Conference			
Summa	ry and Eligibility Determination form			
320.1.3	The IEP is in effect at the beginning of the school year.			
	NOTE:			
	NA: for a student not eligible at the beginning of the school year.			
320.2.3	For students initially referred during the current (reporting) school			
	year, the ARC completed the evaluation within 60 school days from			
	receipt of the parent's Consent for Evaluation.			
	<b>NOTE</b> : <u>All referrals</u> must be considered as part of the random review of			
	records, including referrals for students not found eligible for IDEA			
	services.			
	NA:			
	<ul> <li>The parent(s) of a student repeatedly fails to produce the</li> </ul>			
	student for the evaluation, or			
	<ul> <li>The student transfers to the school district after consent</li> </ul>			
	for initial evaluation is given but before the evaluation is			
	completed.			
	Date of consent for evaluation:			
	Date of ARC for eligibility determination:			
	Date of consent for services:			
	If beyond timeline, indicate number of school days:			
	If beyond timeline, indicate ARC's reason for delay:			
	parent repeatedly failed or refused to produce the			
	student for evaluation			
	student transferred from another district and parent and			
	district agreed to extension date			
	other (reason entered from IC dropdown box)			

		Yes	No	NA
320.2.4	Within the 60 school-day period, an LEA shall ensure the ARC			
	meeting to develop an IEP for a student is conducted within 30			
	days of the determination that the student is eligible.			
	Date of eligibility ARC meeting:			
	Date of IEP development:			
300.4.18	The ARC conducted a reevaluation or review of existing data,			
	unless the parent and the LEA agree that a reevaluation is			
	unnecessary in the current (reporting) year within three calendar			
	years from the date of the last Eligibility ARC meeting.			
	Date of previous Eligibility ARC Meeting:			
	Date of current Eligibility ARC Meeting:			
	NOTE:			
	NA: if there was no reevaluation due in the current year.			
Comments				•
İ				

#### **Initial Evaluation and Reevaluation**

#### 707 KAR 1:300 and 1:340 Section 6

(Previously Items 58-66b)

#### Notes:

- Documentation of progress data must be attached that includes evidence of delivery
  of the research-based intervention and instruction by qualified personnel provided
  in the regular education setting (targeted area of concern, strategies/interventions,
  impact on targeted area).
- The intervention services must be instructional (example phonetic instruction in reading). Instruction does not include accommodations such as preferential seating or extended time.

Relevant Documents: Referral form with documentation of instruction and				NA
interventions implemented, Data-based documentation of repeated assessments,				
Conference Summary, Consent to Evaluate/Reevaluate, Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation				
Report and Classroom Observations, Social-Development History, Adaptive Behavio				
Assessme	nts and Rating Scales			
300.3.3a	Documentation shows <b>prior to</b> or as part of the referral process, the student			
	was provided <b>ALL</b> the following:			
	appropriate, relevant research-based instruction			
	intervention services in regular education settings			
	instruction provided by qualified personnel;			
	NOTE: This is only completed for an <i>initial evaluation</i> .			
	NA: For reevaluation.			
300.3.3b	Documentation shows prior to or as part of the referral process <b>ALL</b> the			
	following occurred:			
	data-based documentation of repeated assessment of achievement or			
	measures of behavior was collected AND			
	evaluated at reasonable intervals, reflecting systematic assessment of			
	student progress during instruction,			
	the results of which were provided to the child's parents.			
	NOTE: This is only completed for an initial evaluation			
	NA: For reevaluation.			
300.3.4	The child did not make adequate progress after an appropriate period of			
	time on the conditions listed above. A referral was made for an evaluation to			
	determine if the child needs special education and related services.			

Relevant Documents: Referral form with documentation of instruction and Yes No NA					
interventions implemented, Data-based documentation of repeated assessments,					
Conference	ce Summary, Consent to Evaluate/Reevaluate, Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation				
Report an	d Classroom Observations, Social-Development History, Adaptive Behavior				
Assessme	nts and Rating Scales				
300.4.10	The child shall be assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability,				
	including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status,				
	general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, and				
	motor abilities.				
	The ARC identified a suspected disability and planned an appropriate				
	evaluation as documented on Consent to Evaluate/Reevaluate form.				
300.4.1	The ARC conducted a full and individual evaluation for the child considered				
	for specially designed instruction and related services prior to the provision				
	of the services.				
	<b>NOTE</b> : For a review of data for a reevaluation, mark <b>YES</b> if the ARC				
	documented a full review and analysis of the existing data.				
300.4.2	Tests and other evaluation materials used to assess a child were provided				
	and administered in the native language or mode of communication				
	appropriate for the student, <b>OR</b> documentation exists that it was clearly not				
	feasible.				
300.4.5	A variety of assessment tools and strategies were used to gather relevant				
	functional, developmental, and academic information about the child,				
	including information provided by the parent, and information related to				
	enabling the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum				
	described in the Kentucky Program of Studies, 704 KAR 3:303.				
	NOTE: For a review of data for a reevaluation, mark YES if the ARC				
	documented a full review and analysis of the existing data (707 KAR				
	300.4.18).				
300.4.14	The ARC included a discussion of ALL the following in an initial evaluation OR				
	reevaluation (if appropriate):				
	Evaluations and information provided by the parents				
	Current classroom-based, local or state assessments				
	Observations from teachers and related service providers				
300.4.15	Based on the review, and input from the parents, the ARC identified				
	whether any additional data are needed to determine:				
	Whether the child has a particular category of disability and the				
	educational needs of the child OR if the child continues to have a disability				
	(re-evaluation);				
	The present levels of academic achievement and related developmental				

Relevant Documents: Referral form with documentation of instruction and			No	NA
interventions implemented, Data-based documentation of repeated assessments,				
Conference Summary, Consent to Evaluate/Reevaluate, Multi-Disciplinary Evaluation				
Report and Classroom Observations, Social-Development History, Adaptive Behavior				
Assessme	ents and Rating Scales			
	needs of the child;			
	Whether the child needs or continues to need special education and			
	related services AND			
	Whether any additions or modification to the special education and			
	related services are needed			
300.4.16	If the LEA determines no additional data are needed to determine whether			
	the child continues to be child with a disability and to determine the child's			
	educational needs, the LEA notified parent(s) of the reasons the ARC is not			
	conducting a reevaluation; AND informs parent(s) of their right to request			
	an assessment.			
Comments	5:			

# **Chart of Edits**

# Revisions

KAR	Page #	Edits
	4	Changed number of files to be identified for review from 100 to 50 when
		population for area being reviewed is 101 or over. So, 10% will be reviewed up to
		50 files instead of 100 files
	4	Updated for Correction of Noncompliance section
	5	OSEP State General Supervision Responsibilities under Parts B and C of the IDEA
		guidance links were added
340.4.1	10	Added regulation 707 KAR 1:340, Section 4 (1)
320.5.11	15	Fixed link for the AA Participation Guidelines Documentation
350.1.9	15	Deleted KAR 350.1.9 and replaced it with KAR 350.1.5.
320.7.2	17	Separated the requirements of regulation 707 KAR 1:320, Section 7 (2) into three
320.7.2		parts for a clearer understanding of the regulation.
320.7.2	17-18	Removed the "Notes" section discussing measurable postsecondary goals.