This sample Assignment Review Protocol looks at how well the <u>Task: World's Largest Hot Coffee</u> aligns to KY.HS.G.30. It is important to note that the identified mathematical practices, coherence connections and any clarifications are possible suggestions; however, they are not the only pathways. The value of this resource is in having these discussions at the PLC level to support collective teacher clarity.



## Assignment Review Protocol: Math

The student work review tool is intended to help teachers, leaders, and other stakeholders answer the question, "Does this task give students the opportunity to meaningfully engage in worthwhile grade-appropriate content?"

<b>PART ONE: Mathematical Content</b> : Does this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appropriate the content of	Yes Partially No		
Does the assignment focus on one or more grade-appropriate mathematics standards?	Standard(s): (KY.HS.G.30)		
Do <u>all</u> questions and/or tasks reach the depth of grade-appropriate standard(s)? Use the following criteria to guide your thinking.	Evidence: The target of the standard for		
• Section 1: Target of the Standard:  Does the task match the target of the standard (conceptual understanding, procedural skill & fluency, and/or application)? Do the numbers/number types and types of representations (area model, shapes, graphs, functions, etc.) match those called for by the targeted standard(s)? For example,	KY.HS.G.30 is application. This task emphasizes application		
o If the standard is <b>conceptual understanding</b> , does the task require more than knowing isolated facts and methods? Are students asked to make sense of why a mathematical idea is important and the kinds of contexts in which it is useful?	by offering opportunities to solve problems in relevant		
o If the standard is <b>procedural skill/fluency</b> , does the task require students to apply procedures accurately, efficiently, flexibly and appropriately? Does the task focus students' attention on the use of procedures for the purpose of developing a deeper level of understanding of mathematical concepts or ideas? If general procedures may be followed, can they be followed mindlessly or are students asked to engage with the conceptual ideas that underlie the procedures to complete the task successfully?	• use critical thinking skills  to select an efficient method		
o If the standard is application, does the task offer students the opportunity to solve problems in a relevant and meaningful way? Are students asked to select an efficient method to find a solution and develop critical thinking skills? Are students asked to actively examine task constraints that may limit possible solutions and strategies?	to find a solution - definitely has students examine task constraints		
• Section 2: Coherence: When examining the standard the task addresses,  o Looking across grade-levels, is there a coherent connection to the same topic in a previous grade? If so, is the	an understanding of how to first the volume of a cylinder and when what contexts it would be useful		
task crafted to elicit a more sophisticated level of understanding than would have been acceptable in the previous grade? Uses, calculating the solution here requires considering volume alongside office rates and unit conversions. (Sequels increase the sophistication is there a coherent connection to another standard within the current grade? It used as well.)  Uses Throughout this task students will also use build on learning from KY. HS. N. 4. a and KY. HS. G. 27.	what contexts it would be useful for.		

That students recognize important information needed to solve

the problem. Engaging the students in the seguel problems (thus changing the constraints) offers additiona



Section 3: Cognitive Complexity: Based on the target of the standard, determine the cognitive complexity of the task.

Target of the Standard	Low (Level 1)	Medium (Level 2)	High (Level 3)		
Conceptual Complexity	Solving the problem requires students to recall or recognize a grade-level concept. The student does not need to relate concepts or demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Students may need to relate multiple grade-level concepts or different types, create multiple representations or solutions, or connect concepts with procedures and strategies. The student must do some reasoning but may not need to demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Solving the problem requires students to relate multiple grade-level concepts and to evidence reasoning, planning, analysis, judgment, and/or creative thought OR work with a sophisticated (nontypical) line of reasoning.		
Procedural Complexity	Solving the problem entails little procedural demand or procedural demand demand is below grade level.	Solving the problem entails common or grade-level procedure(s) with friendly numbers.	Solving the problem requires common or grade-level procedure(s) with unfriendly numbers, an unconventional combination of procedures, or requires unusual perseverance or organizational skills in the execution of the procedure(s).		
Application Complexity	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics, but the required mathematics is either directly indicated or obvious.	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics and requires an interpretation of the context to determine the procedure or concept (may include extraneous information). The mathematics is not immediately obvious. Solving the problem requires students to decide what to do.	In addition to an interpretation of the context, solving the problem requires recognizing important features, and formulating, computing, and interpreting results as part of a modeling process.		

#### **Overall Content Rating**

Overall, do the content demands of this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appropriate standards? MM 100 11 dine we

#### 0 - Weakly Aligned

Less than half of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

#### 1 - Partially Aligned

More than half (but not all) of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

# 2 - Strongly Aligned Something to

All the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

the complexity may lesson.

will drastically impact the extent to which students do the applying

If teachers provide too much quidance

as we know teachers naturally approach instruction differently. PLC discussions should help with those instructional steps.

Note: I review the SMP descriptions on p. 12-15 and look at which descriptions have the most in common with the questions/student assignment/task.

Assignment Review Protections

Assignment Review Protocol: Math

PART TWO: Mathematical Practice: Does the assignment provide meaningful opportunities for students to engage in the standards for mathematical practices?

Does the assignment require students to engage with one or more mathematical practices while working on grade	•
appropriate content?	

Does the target standard(s) explicitly call for use of a specific mathematical practice? If so, does the task provide opportunity for students to engage in the mathematical practice named by the standard?

It may be useful to utilize the front matter of the KAS for Mathematics (p. 12-15) and the Engaging the SMPs: Look fors and Questions Stems document from the Getting to Know the KAS for Mathematics module.

Note: MP4 and mplo are tagged to provide quidance for teachers around KY.HS.G.30 BUT that does not mean students automatically engage with those practices.

Evidence: Students start by explaining the mpl: meaning of a problemend look for entry points to its solution. They analyze givens constraints relationships and goal mp4: Students are able to identify important quantities in a practical situation. They can analyze relationships mathematically to draw conclusions. MPG: Students calculate accurately efficiently express answers with a

#### **Overall Practice Rating**

Overall, to what extent does the assignment provide meaningful practice opportunities with the standards for mathematical practices?

#### 0 - Weakly Aligned

The assignment does not have students engage with critical mathematical practices while working on grade-appropriate content.

#### 1 - Partially Aligned

The assignment gives students an opportunity to engage with at least one math practice, but not at the level of depth required by the standard.

### 2 - Strongly Aligned

The assignment gives students the opportunity to engage with at least one mathematical practice at the appropriate level of depth required by the standard.

PF	<b>INC.</b> THREE: Relevance: Does the assignment give students an authentic opportunity to connect content stan	dards to real-world issu	les and/or contexts:
		Yes	No
	pes the majority of the assignment consist of word problems or real-world application problems/tasks?	Evidence:	
If t	the assignment connects grade-appropriate, content standards to real-world experiences, does it also allow	Yes	No
stu	idents to apply math in a meaningful way?  US although not a scenario students	Evidence:	
•	the assignment connects grade-appropriate, content standards to real-world experiences, does it also allow idents to apply math in a meaningful way?  Do the provided scenarios make sense in a real-world setting?  Do students have to think critically for each new problem rather than applying the same rote computation over and over without having to make sense of the problem? Is there likely to be more than one way to solve the problem	ould assist stu	dents in making.
	Do students have to think critically for each new problem rather than applying the same rote computation over and	sense of the	scenario presented.
	over without having to make sense of the problem? Is there likely to be more than one way to solve the problem rather than students all solving the problem in the same way? Really one 'problem' but students he The sequel tasks also require different approaches and deal with different provide cues (intentionally or unintentionally) for how to approach the task? No, unless provide the control of the problem in the same way?	we to think o	ritically to solve.
	Does the assignment provide cues (intentionally or unintentionally) for how to approach the task? No, unless poor	ided instruction	illy.
	Overall Relevance Rating		0
	Overall, to what extent does the assignment give students an authentic opportunit connect content standards to real-world issues and/or contexts?	y to	

0 - Weakly Aligned

The assignment does not connect content standards to real world experiences.

#### 1 - Partially Aligned

The assignment connects content standards to real-world experiences, but the problems do not allow students to apply math to the real world in a meaningful way.

#### 2 - Strongly Aligned

The assignment connects content standards to real world experiences and allows students to apply math to the real world in a meaningful way. It may also include novel problems.