This sample Assignment Review Protocol looks at how well the <u>Task: Graphs of Quadratic Functions</u> aligns to KY.HS.A.2. It is important to note that the identified mathematical practices, coherence connections and any clarifications are possible suggestions; however, they are not the only pathways. The value of this resource is in having these discussions at the PLC level to support collective teacher clarity.



Assignment Review Protocol: Math

The student work review tool is intended to help teachers, leaders, and other stakeholders answer the question, "Does this task give students the opportunity to meaningfully engage in worthwhile grade-appropriate content?"

PART ONE: Mathematical Contenti: Does this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appro	Yes Partially No
Does the assignment focus on one or more grade-appropriate mathematics standards?	Standard(s): (KY.HS.A.2)
o all questions and/or tasks reach the depth of grade-appropriate standard(s)? Use the following criteria to guide	
Section 1: Target of the Standard: This fask focuses only on the parts of KY. HS. A. A that are being foundational on the HS Matrix. Does the task match the target of the standard conceptual understanding, procedural skill & fluency, and/or application)? Do the numbers/number types and types of representations (area model, shapes, graphs, functions, etc.)	The Target of Standard KY. HS. A. 2 · Conceptual Understanding Softmede
match those called for by the targeted standard(s)? For example,	· Procedural Skill/Fluency Full Intent
o If the standard is conceptual understanding , does the task require more than knowing isolated facts and methods? Are students asked to make sense of why a mathematical idea is important and the kinds of contexts in	This tack builds conceptual Standard
which it is useful?	understanding of 3 common form
o If the standard is procedural skill/fluency, does the task require students to apply procedures accurately, efficiently, flexibly and appropriately? Does the task focus students' attention on the use of procedures for the purpose of developing a deeper level of understanding of mathematical concepts or ideas? If general procedures may be followed, can they be followed mindlessly or are students asked to engage with the conceptual ideas that	The area MY MTVIVA I CAR. CHOOL CO.
underlie the procedures to complete the task successfully?	the KHS for mathematics.) The task sets the stage for students to
o If the standard is application , does the task offer students the opportunity to solve problems in a relevant and meaningful way? Are students asked to <u>select an efficient method to find a solution</u> and develop critical thinking skills? Are students asked to actively examine task constraints that may limit possible solutions and strategies?	engage with the concedures ficuses that under the procedures for the
Section 2: Coherence: When examining the standard the task addresses,	industanding of mathematical
o Looking across grade-levels, is there a coherent connection to the same topic in a previous grade? If so, is the task crafted to elicit a more sophisticated level of understanding than would have been acceptable in the previous grade? Wes -> Extends work from the Expressions Equations domains	from middle school. This extends
the three and many has to allow standards to good as being in the to	maationac courses to
For example, KY. HS. A. 3. b relates as it highlights the relationship in a similar way, there is a connection to KY. HS. A. 7. Additional com	Delias Indiana
And applied to the property of	10000



Section 3: Cognitive Complexity: Based on the target of the standard, determine the cognitive complexity of the task.

Target of	Low (Level 1)	Medium (Level 2)	High (Level 3)		
the Standard					
Conceptual Complexity	Solving the problem requires students to recall or recognize a grade-level concept. The student does not need to relate concepts or demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Students may need to relate multiple grade-level concepts or different types, create multiple representations or solutions, or connect concepts with procedures and strategies. The student must do some reasoning but may not need to demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Solving the problem requires students to relate multiple grade-level concepts and to evidence reasoning, planning, analysis, judgment, and/or creative thought OR work with a sophisticated (nontypical) line of reasoning.		
Procedural Complexity	Solving the problem entails little procedural demand or procedural demand demand is below grade level.	Solving the problem entails common or grade-level procedure(s) with friendly numbers.	Solving the problem requires common or grade-level procedure(s) with unfriendly numbers, an unconventional combination of procedures, or requires unusual perseverance or organizational skills in the execution of the procedure(s).		
Application Complexity	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics, but the required mathematics is either directly indicated or obvious.	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics and requires an interpretation of the context to determine the procedure or concept (may include extraneous information). The mathematics is not immediately obvious. Solving the problem requires students to decide what to do.	In addition to an interpretation of the context, solving the problem requires recognizing important features, and formulating, computing, and interpreting results as part of a modeling process.		

Students are creating multiple representations or solutions and are connecting concepts with procedures and strategies. Students must do some reasoning. Honestly this could easily be high cognitive complexity if students are required to evidence their reasoning, judgment or creative thought. There are also extensions mentioned throughout the commentary that would offer additional opportunities to students.

Overall Content Rating

Overall, do the content demands of this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appropriate standards?

0 - Weakly Aligned

Less than half of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

1 - Partially Aligned

More than half (but not all) of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

2 - Strongly Aligned

All the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).



Note: I review the SMP descriptions on p 12-15 and look at which descriptions have the most in common with the questions structure expectations on the task. Assignment Review Protocol: Math

PART TWO: Mathematical Practice: Does the assignment	nt provide meaningful opportunities for students to eng	gage in the standards for i	mathematical practices?
Does the assignment require students to engage with one of	or more mathematical practices while working on grade-	Yes Evidence:	No closely to discern a
appropriate content?		MP7: Shalents look	
 Does the target standard(s) explicitly call for use of a speci- opportunity for students to engage in the mathematical pr 	pattern or structure. They can see complicated things as strale objects or as being composed of several objects. The		
and Questions Stems document from the Getting to Know		are able to shift pe	rspectives: able tools when solving
Note: MP7 and MP8 are tagged for avidance does not mean students automatically eng	to teachers within KY. H.S. H.2 1807. That age with those practices.	a mothematical prok tools to explore a deep	dem. Use technological pen their understandi
pages in more electric to long a children	J	of concepts.	lations are repeated
Overall, to what extent does the assig	Overall Practice Rating gnment provide meaningful practice opportunities with the standards fo	and look for ge	eneral methods or shortcuts.
0 – Weakly Aligned	1 – Partially Aligned	2 - Strong	ly Aligned
The assignment does not have students engage with critical mathematical practices while working on grade-appropriate content.	The assignment gives students an opportunity to engage with at least one math practice, but not at the level of depth required by the standard.	The assignment gives students the opportunity to engage with at least one mathematical practice at the appropriate level of depth required by the standard.	
PART THREE: Relevance: Does the assignment give stud	ents an authentic opportunity to connect content stand	ards to real-world issues a	and/or contexts?

Does the majority of the assignment consist of word problems or real-world application problems/tasks? Evidence: If the assignment connects grade-appropriate, content standards to real-world experiences, does it also allow Evidence: students to apply math in a meaningful way? Do the provided scenarios make sense in a real-world setting? No. but what is understood a conceptual understanding standpoint can be applied in real world settings. Do students have to think critically for each new problem rather than applying the same rote computation over and over without having to make sense of the problem? Is there likely to be more than one way to solve the problem rather than students all solving the problem in the same way? While there is a facus on structure, there is flexibility in the equations students choose to create in part c. Does the assignment provide cues (intentionally or unintentionally) for how to approach the task? Parts ASB in context Overall Relevance Rating Suggest a graph to support learning about the Overall, to what extent does the assignment give students an authentic opportunity to relationships between lamong the connect content standards to real-world issues and/or contexts? 0 - Weakly Aligned 1 - Partially Aligned 2 - Strongly Aligned The assignment does not connect content standards to real world The assignment connects content standards to real-world The assignment connects content standards to real world experiences. experiences, but the problems do not allow students to apply math experiences and allows students to apply math to the real world in to the real world in a meaningful way. a meaningful way. It may also include novel problems.