This sample Assignment Review Protocol looks at how well the <u>Task: US Airports, assessment variation</u> looks when reviewing alignment to KY.8.SP.3. It is important to note that the identified mathematical practices, coherence connections and any clarifications are possible suggestions; however, they are not the only pathways. The value of this resource is in having these discussions at the PLC level to support collective teacher clarity.

TNTP reimagine teaching

Assignment Review Protocol: Math

Partially

Standard(s)

No

The student work review tool is intended to help teachers, leaders, and other stakeholders answer the question, "Does this task give students the opportunity to meaningfully engage in worthwhile grade-appropriate content?"

maradeoverview. Studer

and solve problems with avadratic

PART ONE: Mathematical Contentⁱ: Does this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appropriate standards?

Does the assignment focus on one or more grade-appropriate mathematics standards?

Do <u>all</u> questions and/or tasks reach the depth of grade-appropriate standard(s)? Use the following criteria to guide your thinking.

• Section 1: Target of the Standard:

Does the task match the target of the standard (conceptual understanding, procedural skill & fluency, and/or application)? Do the numbers/number types and types of representations (area model, shapes, graphs, functions, etc.) match those called for by the targeted standard(s)? For example,

- If the standard is **conceptual understanding**, does the task require more than knowing isolated facts and methods? Are students asked to make sense of why a mathematical idea is important and the kinds of contexts in which it is useful?
- If the standard is **procedural skill/fluency**, does the task require students to apply procedures accurately, efficiently, flexibly and appropriately? Does the task focus students' attention on the use of procedures for the purpose of developing a deeper level of understanding of mathematical concepts or ideas? If general procedures may be followed, can they be followed mindlessly or are students asked to engage with the conceptual ideas that underlie the procedures to complete the task successfully?
- If the standard is **application**, does the task offer students the opportunity to solve problems in a relevant and meaningful way? Are students asked to select an efficient method to find a solution and develop critical thinking skills? Are students asked to actively examine task constraints that may limit possible solutions and strategies?
- Section 2: Coherence: When examining the standard the task addresses,
 - Looking across grade-levels, is there a coherent connection to the same topic in a previous grade? If so, is the task crafted to elicit a more sophisticated level of understanding than would have been acceptable in the previous grade? High School KY HS P. 64 Structure are shill expected to interpret to the same topic in a previous grade to interpret to the same topic in a previous grade of the same topic in a previous grade? If so, is the task crafted to elicit a more sophisticated level of understanding than would have been acceptable in the previous grade? High School KY HS P. 64 Structure are shill expected to interpret topic in the same topic in the same topic in the previous grade? If so, is the same topic in the previous grade? If so, is the same topic in the previous grade? If so, is the same topic in the previous grade? If so, is the previous
 - · Is there a coherent connection to another standard within the current grade? calculate i analyze those in Within Grade 8:
 - . The slope of the line is given in scientific notation, which is an expectation of KY.8. EE. 3 & KI.8. FE. 4
 - · Part a connects to the last part of K1.8.SP.1

Evidence: No Evidence: No Mainly Conceptual Understanding - allows students to connect prior knowledge to new ideas concepts. - students are given a linear model that represents the relationship between two quantities that do not have an exact linear relationship.

Task: intervnet the parameters of that model in context connects what students have learned about slope, y-intercept: general linear models to the context given to provide explanations: make predictions



Assignment Review Protocol: Math

Section 3: Cognitive Complexity: Based on the target of the standard, determine the cognitive complexity of the task.

Target of the Standard	Low (Level 1)	Medium (Level 2)	High (Level 3)	> Medium
Conceptual Complexity	Solving the problem requires students to recall or recognize a grade-level concept. The student does not need to relate concepts or demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Students may need to relate multiple grade-level concepts or different types, create multiple representations or solutions, or connect concepts with procedures and strategies. The student must do some reasoning but may not need to demonstrate a line of reasoning.	Solving the problem requires students to relate multiple grade- level concepts and to evidence reasoning, planning, analysis, judgment, and/or creative thought OR work with a sophisticated (nontypical) line of reasoning.	Students relate multiple grade 8 concepts and connect concepts with procedures or strategies. The student must do some reasoning but may not need to demonstrate a line of reasoning.
Procedural Complexity	Solving the problem entails little procedural demand or procedural demand is below grade level.	Solving the problem entails common or grade-level procedure(s) with friendly numbers.	Solving the problem requires common or grade-level procedure(s) with unfriendly numbers, an unconventional combination of procedures, or requires unusual perseverance or organizational skills in the execution of the procedure(s).	
Application Complexity	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics, but the required mathematics is either directly indicated or obvious.	Solving the problem entails an application of mathematics and requires an interpretation of the context to determine the procedure or concept (may include extraneous information). The mathematics is not immediately obvious. Solving the problem requires students to decide what to do.	In addition to an interpretation of the context, solving the problem requires recognizing important features, and formulating, computing, and interpreting results as part of a modeling process.	

*Source: https://www.achieve.org/files/Cognitive%20Complexity%20Mathematics%20Assessment_FINAL_0.pdf

Overall Content Rating

Overall, do the content demands of this assignment align with the expectations defined by grade-appropriate standards?

0 - Weakly Aligned

1 – Partially Aligned

Less than half of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s). More than half (but not all) of the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).

2 – Strongly Aligned

All the questions on the assignment reach the depth of the targeted grade-appropriate standard(s).



TNTP reimagine teaching	Note: I review the SMP descriptions descriptions have the most in comm expectations on the assignments.		
PART TWO: Mathematical Practice: Does the assignment	nent provide meaningful opportunities for students to en	gage in the standards for	mathematical practices?
 appropriate content? Does the target standard(s) explicitly call for use of a spec opportunity for students to engage in the mathematical p It may be useful to utilize the front matter of the <u>KAS for</u> and <u>Questions</u> Stems document from the <u>Getting</u> to Know 	cific mathematical practice? If so, does the task provide practice named by the standard? <u>Mathematics</u> (p. 12-15) and the <u>Engaging the SMPs: Look fors</u>	Contextualize & de Attend to the meaning	problem situations. na of quantities, not whe them. tion to describe how rest devendson
Overall, to what extent does the as	Overall Practice Rating signment provide meaningful practice opportunities with the standards for	CONTEXT . or mathematical practices?	

0 – Weakly Aligned	1 – Partially Aligned
The assignment does not have students engage with critical	The assignment gives students an opportunity to engage with at
mathematical practices while working on grade-appropriate content.	least one math practice, but not at the level of depth required by

2 - Strongly Aligned The assignment gives students the opportunity to engage

with at least one mathematical practice at the appropriate level of depth required by the standard.

PART THREE: Relevance: Does the assignment give students an authentic opportunity to connect content standards to real-world issues and/or contexts?

1 - Partially Aligned

the standard.

Does the majority of the assignment consist of word pr	oblems or real-world application problems/tasks?	Evidence: But this task might not be as relevant authentic to some student populations.
 If the assignment connects grade-appropriate, content students to apply math in a meaningful way? Do the provided scenarios make sense in a real-world Do students have to think critically for each new problem. 	Evidence: The task does not cue how to approach the interpretations	
 Does the assignment provide cues (intentionally or unit 	Lowhat does the # 6.1 mean in the context? 's what does the slope mean in context?	
	Overall Relevance Rating to what extent does the assignment give students an authentic oppor connect content standards to real world issues and/or contexts?	* Small change BUT significant.
0 – Weakly Aligned The assignment does not connect content standards to real world experiences.	1 – Partially Aligned The assignment connects content standards to real-world experiences, but the problems do not allow students to apply math to the real world in a meaningful way.	2 – Strongly Aligned The assignment connects content standards to real world experiences and allows students to apply math to the real world in a meaningful way. It may also include novel problems.