

Spring 2008

Kentucky Writing Handbook
Part II: Scoring
Replacement/Update Pages

Grade 7

The Kentucky Writing Handbook replacement and update pages for Spring 2008 include these pages from **Part II: Scoring**

Part II title page—updated
Part II pages ii-iiib—updated

Appendices additions pages 11-a-11j

Cluster Leader Paperwork/Duties
Cluster Leader/DAC Paperwork/Duties
Quality Control Procedures
Quality Control Record
Table-leader Read-behind Procedures
Table-leader Read-behind Record
Principal's Confirmation Record
Score Report Form (last revision February 2007)
Portfolio Accumulation Sheet

Kentucky Writing Handbook

*Helping Students Develop as
Proficient Writers and Learners*

Part II: Scoring



Grade 7

Kentucky Department of Education

**Jon Draud, Commissioner of Education
2007-2008 Update**

Acknowledgements

The Kentucky Department of Education would like to thank members of the Writing Advisory Committee (WAC) and the Scoring Accuracy Assurance Team (ScAAT) for their valuable contribution updating the *Kentucky Writing Handbook*. We would like to extend a special thanks to Dr. Charles Whitaker for his continued assistance in developing this handbook and his dedication to Kentucky teachers and students.

Kentucky Writing Contacts 2007-2008

Kentucky Department of Education

Jamie Spugnardi, *Associate Commissioner, Office of Teaching and Learning*

Michael Miller, *Director of Curriculum*

Cherry Boyles, *Assistant Director of Curriculum*

Cindy Parker, *Language Arts Branch Manager*

Lee Ann Hager, *Writing Consultant*

Dena Cole, *Writing Consultant*

Depeka Croft, *Writing Consultant*

Cindy Parker, Director, Kentucky Writing Program

Cindy.Parker@education.ky.gov

The Collaborative for Teaching and Learning

Linda Leugers, *Writing Portfolio Project Consultant*

lleugers@ctlonline.org

Dr. Charles Whitaker, *University Consultant*

State Writing Consultants

Lee Ann Hager, NBCT, High School Writing Consultant

LeeAnn.Hager@education.ky.gov

Dena Cole, NBCT, Middle School Writing Consultant

Dena.Cole@education.ky.gov

Depeka Croft, Elementary Writing Consultant

Depeka.Croft@education.ky.gov

Contact Information

Kentucky Department of Education

500 Mero Street, 19th Floor

Frankfort, KY 40601

Phone: (502) 564-2106

Fax: (502) 564-6470

Writing Advisory Committee

The Kentucky Department of Education extends appreciation to the Writing Advisory Committee, the Scoring Accuracy Assurance Team, and the Kentucky Writing Program Advisory Committee for their commitment and dedication to Kentucky students.

Grade 4

Briana Adams
Shelli Barber
Tammy Brantley
Renee Buchanan
Nancy Cantrell
Tiffany Cook
Barbara Deifel
Betsy Fredericks
Sonya Fugate
Angel Hilterbrand
Sandra Hogue
Paula House
Jennifer Humphrey
Amy Jeziorski
Christie Metcalf
Rhonda Orttenger
Sabrina Reed
Teri Schout
Julie Sheffer
Valerie Smith
Kim Sumner
Amy Thompson
Mariam Todd
William Lee Ward

Grade 7

Lena Aldridge
Pamela Allen
Serena Anderson
Jenny Andrews
Jennifer Bernhard
Cindy Bradley
Angela Brown
Pam Burton
Diedra Carpenter
Denise Carrell
Frank Coffey
Martha Dixon
Toni Embree
Kara Hatfield
Michelle Hill
Rachel Holbrook
Bonnie Honaker
Johnene Ison
Chad Peavler
Jessica Pugh
Karen Simpson
Nan Ternes
Sharon Thurman
Lisa Wallin
Vickie Wheatley

Grade 12

Jackie Collins
Brandy Corbin
Byron Darnall
Julie Feinauer
Ranea Gibson
Angela Gunter
Lynette Hadley
Bonnie Hartley
Deshia Holliday
Amy Humphrey
Alicia Hunter
Carrie Lichtenberg
Lisa Newby
Susan Norton
Summer Perry
Danna Powers
Melissa Quertermous
Rodney Rhodus
Elizabeth Sewell
Latishia Sparks
Erin Stephens
Rebecca Woosley

John Hagaman

University Representatives

Charles Whitaker

Kathryn Mincey

CTL Consultant

Linda Leugers

State Consultants

Depeka Croft

Dena Cole

Lee Ann Hager

Scoring Accuracy Assurance Team

A Sub-committee of the Writing Advisory Committee

Grade 4

Tammy Brantley
Renee Buchanan
Barbara Deifel
Betsy Fredericks
Teri Schout
Valerie Smith
Julie Sheffer
Amy Thompson
Mariam Todd

Grade 7

Pam Burton
Diedra Carpenter
Frank Coffey
Toni Embree
Kara Hatfield
Bonnie Honaker
Jessica Pugh
Sharon Thurman
Vickie Wheatley

Grade 12

Jackie Collins
Ranea Gibson
Angela Gunter
Lynette Hadley
Amy Humphrey
Linda Martin
Lisa Newby
Susan Norton
Rodney Rhodus
Elizabeth Sewell

Kentucky Writing Program Advisory

Cindy Parker, Director, Kentucky Writing Program

Cluster Leader Paperwork/Duties

Scoring Training	Scoring Session
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Score Report form (2xs per scorer) ○ Rubric (1 per scorer) ○ Writing Scoring Rubric Flowchart (# of copies at discretion) ○ Anchor Papers and Reflective Exemplar Set (1 copy of full set per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Real-World Writing to Informative and Technical Writing (1 copy per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Writing to Poetry ○ Other materials from KDE training ○ 1 Training Portfolios (1 copy per scorer) ○ 1 Practice Portfolios (1 copy per scorer, may utilize on-line practice portfolio as needed) ○ Post-It Notes ○ PowerPoint ○ Sign-In sheet ○ LCD ○ VCR ○ TV ○ KDE Scoring Telecast/Video 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Score Report form (3xs per portfolio) ○ Rubric (multiple copies based on scorers' needs) ○ Anchor Papers and Reflective Exemplar Set (1 copy of full set per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Real-World Writing to Informative and Technical Writing (1 per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Writing to Poetry (1 per scorer) ○ Portfolio Scoring Accumulation form (at least 1 copy per # of portfolios) ○ 3 Quality Control Portfolios (1 copy of each per scorer) ○ Quality Control Portfolio Procedures (1 for cluster leader/facilitator) ○ Quality Control Record (1 per scorer) ○ Table Leader Read-Behind Procedures (1 for cluster leader/facilitator) (optional) ○ Table Leader Read-Behind Record (1 per scorer) (optional) ○ SSID numbers from BAC (1 for cluster leader/facilitator) ○ Sign-In sheet

Cluster Leader/Facilitator's Duties

- Contact BAC for SSID numbers and labels.
- Contact DAC for Quality Control Portfolios at least a week before scoring.
- Coordinate with BAC to have SSID labels on appropriate materials (portfolios, Portfolio Scoring Accumulation Sheets, Writing Portfolio Score Submission Forms)
- Have appropriate number of copies of materials needed for both sessions.
- Train scorers.
- Assign scorers/reader ID numbers and keep on file for reference.
- Facilitate training/scoring sessions.
- Have principal to sign Principal's Quality Control Measures Confirmation sheet.
- Coordinate with BAC the completion of the Writing Portfolio Score Submission Forms

Cluster Leader/DACs Paperwork/Duties Scoring Writing Portfolios

Cluster Leaders	DACs
<p>Will have the appropriate number of forms below copied</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Score Report form (3xs per portfolio) ○ Rubric (at least one per scorer) ○ Anchor Papers and Reflective Exemplar Set (1 copy of full set per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Real-World Writing to Informative and Technical Writing (1 per scorer) ○ Applying the Criteria of Effective Writing to Poetry (1 per scorer) ○ Other materials from KDE training ○ Portfolio Scoring Accumulation form (at least one copy for each portfolio) ○ 3 Quality Control Portfolios (1 copy of each per scorer) ○ Quality Control Record form (1 for cluster leader/scoring facilitator) ○ Quality Control Steps (1 for cluster leader) ○ Principal's Proper Quality Control Measures Confirmation sheet <p>Other duties</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Contact BAC at least a week before scoring for SSID numbers and labels ○ Coordinate with BAC to have SSID labels on appropriate materials (i.e., portfolios, Portfolio Scoring Accumulation Sheets, Portfolio Score Submission Forms) ○ Contact DAC at least a week before scoring for Quality Control Portfolios ○ Have KDE Scoring video recorded ○ Train scorers ○ Facilitate scoring session ○ Assign scorers/readers ID numbers ○ Complete Portfolio Accumulation Sheets and Portfolio Score Submission Forms (Submission Forms per DAC's direction) ○ Return all copies of Quality Control Portfolios, Quality Control Record, and Principal's Proper Quality Control Measures form to DAC ○ Store scored portfolios along with Score Report forms and Accumulation Sheets in secured place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Communicate with BACS/Cluster Leaders for scoring dates ○ Supply BACS/Cluster Leaders with SSID numbers and labels at least one week before scoring ○ Obtain Quality Control Portfolios from KDE ○ Supply Cluster Leaders with Quality Control Portfolios (may make needed # of copies if possible) at least one week before scoring ○ Select Cluster Leader or other designee(s) for completing Writing Portfolio Submission Forms ○ Collect Writing Portfolio Submission Forms from designee ○ Collect Quality Control Portfolios, Quality Control Record and Principal's Proper Quality Control Measures Confirmation sheet from Cluster Leaders and store in secured place ○ Notify Cluster Leaders of audit selection ○ Facilitate copying and mailing of audited portfolios to testing contractor ○ Share audit reports with schools <p>These duties have Office of Assessment and Accountability approval.</p>

Quality Control Portfolio Procedures

Quality Control Portfolios are **secure** portfolios that have pre-assigned scores by the Scoring Accuracy Assurance Team. These are used to bring scorers back to the language of the rubric in any subdomain during the scoring of portfolios. The use of the Quality Control Portfolios is **mandated** by the Kentucky Department of Education.

General Directions

- The elementary, middle, and high school will have three Quality Control Portfolios. Cluster leaders must designate 1 portfolio from which pieces will be used during the whole group recalibration throughout the scoring session for quality control measures. The other two portfolios (6 pieces for elem. and middle, 9 pieces for high school) may be used with individual scorers.
- Schools should reproduce enough copies of the Quality Control Portfolios so that all scorers will be able to read and score pieces at the same time, allowing for a short discussion of them and the scoring criteria and rationale when all scores have been turned in.
- The use of the Quality Control Portfolio piece **must** take place after the initial recalibration at the beginning of each scoring session and either after a long break or after lunch again. In addition, schools with proven accuracy use the quality control procedure mid-morning and mid-afternoon in an all-day scoring session.
- Scores from the quality control procedure must be recorded **each** time on the Quality Control Record.
- Besides using the mandated Quality Control Portfolio procedures during the scoring of the portfolios, the use of table leaders may be initiated (See Table Leader Read-behind Procedures). This has proven beneficial to larger schools.

Steps

1. **One piece** (example-reflective) from a Quality Control Portfolio will be used after initial recalibration at the **beginning of each** scoring session. The subdomain scores (content, structure, conventions) must be **exact or adjacent**.
2. The Cluster Leader/Scoring Facilitator* on the Quality Control Portfolio Record will record the scorer's scores.
3. Discussion will follow using the rationale and rubric.
4. If everyone scores the piece from the Quality Control with exact or adjacent subdomain scores, scoring of the live portfolios may begin. If an individual scorer has one or more of the subdomain scores nonadjacent (two or more points) in the piece (example-content from the reflective) do as follows:
 - a) Discuss the rubric and the Anchor Paper from the subdomain that was nonadjacent.
 - b) Require that the scorer score a second piece (example-literary) from the designated Quality Control Portfolio, and record scores on the Quality Control Record.

- c) Allow scorer to begin scoring if the Quality Control piece scores are exact or adjacent in each subdomain.
- d) Monitor the scoring team members that had nonadjacent scores of the Quality Control Portfolio piece by having them to read another Quality Control Portfolio piece after scoring at least five portfolios.
- e) Record the subdomain scores on the Quality Control Record.
- f) Discuss the rationale of any nonadjacent subdomain score and corresponding Anchor Paper from the subdomain that was nonadjacent.
- g) Require that the scorer read one more Quality Control Portfolio piece, and then record the score.
- h) Allow the scorer to continue scoring if Quality Control piece score is exact or adjacent in each subdomain. If nonadjacent scores occur again, measures may be taken to assign the scorer to other duties in the scoring room.

***Scoring Facilitator**—this is the cluster leader or another trained person who assists the cluster leader with managing the paperwork, monitoring the session, and may aid in discussions with scorers. (This person is not required.)

All copies of the Quality Control Portfolios and the Quality Control Portfolio Record are to be sent back to the DACS after scoring session ends, to be stored with other secure assessment materials.

Table Leader Read-behind Record

Besides using the mandated Quality Control Portfolio Procedures during the scoring of portfolios, another quality control component **may** be added with the use of table leaders. This is especially beneficial to large districts.

General Instructions

- Table leaders are chosen from the most experienced and accurate scorers to “read behind” the scorers at their tables (4-5 scorers per table).
- Cluster Leaders will direct table leaders to **read at least 1 piece from every one or two portfolios** of the scorers to ensure consistency. **Two of the three subdomain** scores must be **exact or adjacent** with the table leader’s scores.
- The table leader should choose the piece for “read-behinds” **at random** from each scorer’s finished stack and should provide a “**blind**” **read/scoring**. The read-behinds function as a quality control measure.
- If the table leader’s score **disagrees** with the scorer’s score, the table leader will discuss and clarify the score using the rubric with the reader, outside the scoring area. **It is important to note that this table leader’s score is not one of the two required scores for accountability purposes. The table leader’s score is a quality control measure.**
- The table leader will monitor the scorer by reading **another piece** after the scorer has scored another portfolio. If the table leader disagrees with subdomain scores of the scorer **again**, the **Cluster Leader** will discuss the rubric and Anchor Papers that pertain to the nonadjacent scores of the scorer. Then the scorer will score a **Quality Control Portfolio** piece. The score **will be recorded** on the **Quality Control Record**. The scorer may continue scoring if subdomain scores are exact or adjacent. If nonadjacent scores occur, measures may be taken to assign the scorer to other duties in the scoring room.
- Table leaders should keep records of **all original and read-behind** scores on the **Table Leader Read-Behind Record**. The record will **be kept by the Cluster Leader** for future reference.

Commonwealth Accountability Testing System

Principal's Confirmation of Proper Quality Control Measures for the Scoring of Writing Portfolios

School Name: _____

School Code: _____

District Name: _____

District Code: _____

I certify that

- writing portfolio scoring training was delivered to all scorers and documented. Documentation and copies of the scorers' signatures are on file with the Writing Cluster Leader.
- writing portfolio scoring was conducted after the scoring training was completed. Documentation and copies of scorer's signatures are on file with the Writing Cluster Leader.
- writing Portfolios were scored using double-blind scoring during the scoring session.
- the Quality Control Portfolio Procedures was utilized throughout the scoring session. The Quality Control Portfolio Records are on file with the District Assessment Coordinator.
- all Quality Control Portfolios were returned to the District Assessment Coordinator.
- everyone involved in the scoring of writing portfolios read and signed the Code of Ethics for Writing Portfolios. Documentation and copies of scorer's signatures are on file with the Building Assessment Coordinator/District Assessment Coordinator.
- I have informed the District Assessment Coordinator of any inappropriate scoring procedures.

Principal's signature _____

Date signed _____

Please deliver this form to your District Assessment Coordinator or Building Assessment Coordinator.



Reader 1 2 3 (circle one)
Reader ID _____

Grade Level: 4 7 12

SSID # _____

Instructions: As you read each piece, record your score for each subdomain (content, structure, conventions) in the boxes indicated. You may elect to check the "Content Area" line to identify the content requirement (if applicable).

Reflective Content area _____	Personal/Literary Content area _____	Transactive Content area _____	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area _____
Content Purpose _____ Audience _____ Idea Dev. _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Content Purpose _____ Audience _____ Idea Dev. _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Content Purpose _____ Audience _____ Idea Dev. _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Content Purpose _____ Audience _____ Idea Dev. _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>
Structure Organization _____ Transitions _____ Sentence Structure _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Structure Organization _____ Transitions _____ Sentence Structure _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Structure Organization _____ Transitions _____ Sentence Structure _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Structure Organization _____ Transitions _____ Sentence Structure _____ Most frequent score (0-4) <input type="checkbox"/>
Conventions Grammar _____ Word Choice _____ Correctness _____ Most frequent score (1-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Conventions Grammar _____ Word Choice _____ Correctness _____ Most frequent score (1-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Conventions Grammar _____ Word Choice _____ Correctness _____ Most frequent score (1-4) <input type="checkbox"/>	Conventions Grammar _____ Word Choice _____ Correctness _____ Most frequent score (1-4) <input type="checkbox"/>
<p><i>The composite scores for portfolios will be calculated using the KDE spreadsheet provided to each district with testing materials. A designated district person is responsible for recording the data into the spreadsheet to calculate composite scores.</i></p>			

Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s)

[MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain

- A table of contents page which indicates the following:
 - Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary, transactive, transactive with analytical or technical focus [12th grade only])
 - Required number of pieces in each category
 - 4th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category)
 - 7th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category)
 - 12th grade—4 pieces (1 in each category)
- A signed Student Signature Sheet

[MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class

- 4th grade—no content piece is required to be identified
- 7th and 12th—one content piece other than English/language arts identified by content area class

[PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized

[OTHER]

- is different from those listed in the Table of Contents
- is written in a language other than English
- demonstrates only computational skills
- consists of only diagrams or drawings
- represents a group entry

36 points are possible for 4th and 7th grade portfolios; 48 points are possible for 12th grade portfolios.

February 2007

Portfolio Scoring Accumulation Sheet

State
 Student ID:

Last Name:

First Name:

MI:

Date of Birth

MM	DD	YYYY

Grade Level:

Incomplete Reasons			
(Check all that apply)	Rd1	Rd2	Rd3
Missing Pieces			
Missing Content Area Requirement			
Plagiarism			
Other			

Exclusions:

(Check all that apply)

- Foreign Exchange Student
- Expelled and not receiving services
- LEP student has not been in an English language instructional environment for at least two full school years
- Moved out of Kentucky public schools during testing window
- Enrolled in KY public schools or districts for less than a full academic year

Notes:

Rd 1 ID	Rd 2 ID	RD 3 ID

Reflective			
	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
Content			
Structure			
Conventions			

Personal / Literary			
	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
Content			
Structure			
Conventions			

Transactive			
	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
Content			
Structure			
Conventions			

12th grade only	Transactive w/Analytical Or Tech		
	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
Content			
Structure			
Conventions			